## United States District Court

## WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

## **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

## **ORDER OF DETENTION** PENDING TRIAL

NTONIO CANTRELL DOUGLAS	Case Number:	1:10-mj-77	

/ !!!!			
requir	In ace	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.§3142(f), e detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts
			dings of Fact
(1)		The defendant is charged with an offense describe offense) (state or local offense that would have been a existed) that is	ed in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C.§315	6(a)(4).	
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is	life imprisonment or death.
		an offense for which the maximum term of imp	orisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after the defendant U.S.C.§3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state o	had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 r local offenses.
	(2)		le the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	(3)	offense.  A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	he (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pre-	sumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ommunity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this
	(1)	Alternate F	indings (A) t has committed an offense
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of	ten years or more is prescribed in
		under 18 U.S.C.§924(c).	
Ш	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant a	blished by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will as required and the safety of the community.
		Alternate F	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not apport	
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	
			rmission, and remained at large for 1 1/2 months. Defendant has ly problems was the excuse given for leaving CAP. The state also has
		Part II - Written Statement	of Reasons for Detention
that th	ne cr	redible testimony and information submitted at the	e hearing establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that
		s) will assure the appearance of the defendant for	
The acility s	defe epar	Part III - Directions endant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ge rate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting	Regarding Detention  neral or his designated representative for confinement in a correction g or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. Th
efendar r on red tates m	nť sh ques narsh	nall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private con st of an attorney for the Government, the person in cha hal for the purpose of an appearance in connection wi	neral or his designated representative for confinement in a correction or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The isultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United State arge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United th a court proceeding.
Dated:	O	October 1, 2010	/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.
			Signature of Judicial Officer
			Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge
			Name and Title of Judicial Officer